

CHAPTER 8: PROTECTING VILLAGE CHARACTER	
QUESTION NO.	SUMMARY OF REPS
<b>QUESTION 12: Protecting Important Green Spaces</b>	
Which of the potential Green Spaces do you support or object to and why?	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support for all LGS from 51 respondents.</li> </ul>
<p>G1 -Bassingbourn Play area and open space in Elbourn Way South of the road</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bassingbourn-cum-Kneesworth Parish Council confirms its support for Green Space G1</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Important play area for local children. Simple green space play area whilst another part is equipped with swings and other play features. Given the proximity of this area to housing there may be a future temptation to allow development on part of area and it is important to prevent this at this stage.</li> </ul>
<p>G2 -Bassingbourn Play area and open space owned by the Parish Council in Fortune Way</p> <p>Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bassingbourn-cum-Kneesworth Parish Council confirms its support.</li> <li>Has in past been proposed for development. Needs protecting as important play area owned by Parish Council.</li> </ul>
<p>G3 -Bassingbourn The Rouses</p> <p>Support: 15 Object: 1 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lived in Bassingbourn all life and this is valuable open space valued by community. It has unique ambience and is very important to life of the community and engendering a sense of community spirit in the young</li> <li>Enclosed area between Village Recreation Ground and Ford wood (an SSI wood), used by walkers and dog walkers, as a free open space and connects areas together, it is a safe quiet space and children use this as a route to school</li> <li>Important to character of village. Special place</li> <li>Has footpaths across it. Key part of green network around village</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Objection from Cambridgeshire County Council to site being designated as LGS. Does not meet all the tests. Agricultural field – not special to community. Site is highly</li> </ul>

	sustainable for future development.
<p>G4- Bassingbourn The play area and open space in Elbourn Way North of the road</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bassingbourn-cum-Kneesworth Parish Council confirms its support.</li> <li>• Unique and important area of open space</li> </ul>
<p>G5 -Caldecote Recreation sports field off Furlong Way</p> <p>Support: 3 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Major recreational area for village and needs on going protection. It is owned by Parish Council, has sports facilities built and is not available for other development.</li> <li>• Caldecote Parish Council supports</li> </ul>
<p>G6 - Cambourne Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way</p> <p>Support: 7 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> </ul>
<p>G7 - Cambourne Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School</p> <p>Support: 7 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> </ul>
<p>G8 - Cambourne Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane (2)</p> <p>Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p>G9 –Cambourne Cambourne, land east of Sterling Way</p> <p>Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> </ul>

<p>G10 – Cambourne Land east of Sterling Way, north of Brace Dein</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> </ul>
<p>G11 –Cambourne Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane</p> <p>Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> <li>• Important recreational area for village</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If play area could be incorporated into cricket pitch, land released could be used to provide a village green pub</li> </ul>
<p>G12 – Cambourne Land east of Greenbank</p> <p>Support: 5 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> <li>• Allotments provide exercise opportunities for plot holders and their families, are educational for children and provide habitat and food for wildlife</li> </ul>
<p>G13 – Cambourne Land north of School Lane, west of Broad Street</p> <p>Support: 7 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> <li>• Introduction of trim trail provides exercise opportunities</li> </ul>
<p>G14 – Cambourne Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane (1)</p> <p>Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> </ul>
<p>G15 – Cambourne Land north of Green Common Farm, west of Broadway</p> <p>Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> </ul>
<p>G16 – Cambourne Landscaped areas within</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne Parish Council supports</li> </ul>

<p>village and around edge of village</p> <p>Support: 8 Object: 0 Comment: 6</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consistent with Cambourne Master Plan</li> <li>• Keep green space</li> <li>• Bourne Parish Council note that these perimeter areas provide valuable walking routes</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggestion to include an additional area to this LGS – green between Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane</li> <li>• Wildlife Trust manage boundary green area in Cambourne as part of Section 106 agreement. Boundary of G16 slightly different so suggest amending area so same as their management area.</li> </ul>
<p>G17 –Cottenham All Saints Church</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support, subject to being agreeable to Parish Church, and local residents. Particularly value the trees, and well-maintained remembrance gardens.</li> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites.</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> </ul>
<p>G18 –Cottenham Moat</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• The moat is valuable site for great crested newts, and is also ancient monument scheduled by English Heritage</li> </ul>
<p>G19 –Cottenham Broad Lane - High Street Junction</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council does not support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> <li>• Provides welcome green space along a very long and built up high street</li> </ul>

<p>G20 –Cottenham Land at Victory Way</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> </ul>
<p>G21 – Cottenham Cemetery , Lamb Lane</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> </ul>
<p>G22 – Cottenham Orchard Close</p> <p>Support: 3 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> </ul>
<p>G23 –Cottenham Coolidge Gardens</p> <p>Support: 3 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites.</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> </ul>
<p>G24 –Cottenham South of Brenda Gautry Way</p> <p>Support: 3 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> <li>• Space could provide future bicycle and foot access to the High Street for possible future developments to east of this site</li> </ul>
<p>G25 –Cottenham Dunstall Field</p> <p>Support: 3 Object: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> </ul>

<p>Comment: 0</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> <li>• This can provide future bicycle and foot traffic route to secondary school - must be kept as a green space</li> </ul>
<p>G26 – Cottenham West of Sovereign Way</p> <p>Support: 3 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> <li>• This land could provide future bicycle and foot traffic route from possible future development in east to Checkers</li> </ul>
<p>G27 –Cottenham Old Recreation Ground</p> <p>Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Historic amenity for village, is outstanding for its riverside setting and variety of wildlife habitat, and provides an important access point into wider countryside.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council ask that site is split into two parts – Part A is Broad Lane balancing pond which will remain protected ; Part B ( northern part ) being the old Northend Playing fields . Parish Council reserve option to erect at future date sports or play-area facilities including possibly changing room. ( Rep 53536)</li> </ul>
<p>G28 – Cottenham Recreation Ground and Playing Fields</p> <p>Support: 3 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cottenham Parish Council points out potential to swap with Cambridgeshire County Council</li> </ul>

	the northern pink of the recreation ground with the southern blue of PC2, leaving two rectangles as opposed to jigsaw shapes. ( Rep no 53539)
G29 – Cottenham Playing Fields  Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0	<b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support all green spaces in Cottenham</li> <li>• Support from Cottenham Environment Audit Group and Fen Edge Footpath Group for all Cottenham sites</li> <li>• Oakington and Westwick Parish Council support</li> <li>• Cottenham Village Design Group support</li> </ul>
G30 – Foxton Foxton Recreation ground  Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0	<b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Foxton Parish Council support</li> </ul>
G31 – Foxton The Green  Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0	<b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Foxton Parish Council support</li> </ul>
G32 – Foxton The Dovecote meadow Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0	<b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Foxton Parish Council support</li> </ul>
G33 –Fulbourn Small parcel of land between the Townley Hall at the Fulbourn Centre and the access road to the same, and fronting Home End  Support: 48 Object: 1 Comment: 1	<b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support designation</li> <li>• Fulbourn Parish Council supports this as the Parish Plan calls for village's setting and best landscapes and views to be preserved</li> <li>• Linked to recreation ground at rear, this area of pasture is of particular local significance as it provides an open, green setting for Townley Hall, while bringing a piece of countryside right into village.</li> <li>• Hedgerow onto Home End is as important in Conservation Area as nearby brick and flint walls.</li> <li>• Natural, visually tranquil site. Has potential for enhancement of its wildlife biodiversity. A natural "intervention" in streetscape it is an important space, a local characteristic that helps maintain rural feel of Fulbourn.</li> <li>• Village has expanded in recent years – need to protect remaining green spaces.</li> </ul>

	<p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Object to designation as LGS from landowner – Trustees of late K G Moss. ( Rep 51543). No public access to land, not notable beauty, not rich in wildlife. Consider site suitable for housing development.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would oppose development of the site</li> </ul>
<p>G34 – Fulbourn The field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and the railway line - as well as the associated low-lying area on Cow Lane adjacent to the Horse Pond.</p> <p>Support: 60 Object: 2 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Site enhances historic and rural setting of village. Brings countryside into village</li> <li>• Not suitable for development – tranquil area rich in flora and fauna</li> <li>• Village has expanded in recent years and now need to protect remaining green space</li> <li>• Fulbourn Parish Council supports this as Parish Plan calls for the village's setting and best landscapes and views to be preserved</li> <li>• Used heavily by families exercising and walking their dogs in a natural setting.</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objection to allocation from owner of land – Castlefield International Ltd ( Rep no 51908)</li> <li>• Sixth criteria should be included requiring that any area being considered for allocation should be able to be delivered for purposes for which allocation is being sought. Site is neither available for open space nor capable of delivery of such purposes. The land is entirely within private ownership and does not benefit from any form of public access.</li> <li>• Objection from individual who considers development of site for housing to be a good idea.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concept of Local Green Spaces determined by Parish Councils is an excellent idea - gives local communities opportunity to define spaces that maintain character of their villages and provide buffer zones to prevent development.</li> </ul>
<p>G35 -Great Shelford Land between Rectory Farm and 26 Church Street</p> <p>Support: 6 Object: 1 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support for designation of site- adds to visual amenity of area being close to church and school.</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objection by Bidwells on behalf of Jesus College to designation of site since it is already land protected under other policies such as Green Belt. (Rep 51884)</li> </ul>

<p>G36 -Guilden Morden 36 Dubbs Knoll Road</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guilden Morden Parish Council support revision of boundary of PVAA</li> </ul>
<p>G37 – Haslingfield Recreation Ground</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Haslingfield Parish Council support. Recreation ground is well used .Village hall is on site - widely used. Belt of trees on northern boundary provides nesting habitat for birds. Village Environment Group working with PC, has planted more trees, and is creating a 'wild area' which will attract wildlife. Site awarded Queen Elizabeth II Field status in 2012 and will therefore remain open space in perpetuity. Should be brought inside Village Framework, if this would help protect it.</li> </ul>
<p>G38 –Ickleton Village green - opposite the Church</p> <p>Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ickleton Parish Council support – heart of village; close to community; vital to setting of church and listed buildings; war memorial on green; tranquil; vital part of conservation area.</li> </ul>
<p>G39 -Litlington Village Green</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>
<p>G40 – Litlington St Peter's Hill</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>
<p>G41- Litlington Recreation Ground,</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>
<p>G42 -Little Abington Scout Campsite, Church Lane</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little Abington Parish Council supports maintaining the Scout Camp site as a green space</li> </ul>
<p>G43 -Little Abington Bowling Green, High Street</p> <p>Support: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little Abington Parish Council supports maintaining Bowling Green as a Green Space</li> </ul>

<p>Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	
<p>G44 –Over Station Road/Turn Lane</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 7 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objection to land being considered as PVAA. No public access to site and no views of church. Does not meet criteria for PVAA or LGS. Agreed by Inspector of Site Specific DPD in Sept 2009 (Rep 50810)</li> <li>• Objection from landowners.</li> <li>• Confused with adjacent site which is laid mainly to grass and does have views to church.</li> <li>• This site does not contribute to amenity and character of this part of village. As it stands it is of no value to village – overgrown.</li> <li>• Development of site best option for village to provide for affordable housing.</li> </ul>
<p>G45 – Over Willingham Road/west of Mill Road</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 1 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protects rural character of village</li> <li>• Used for dog walking and fruit pickers</li> <li>• Link to part – should be developed as community orchard.</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objection to designation from Bloor Homes Eastern since land only agricultural field with no value – does not meet criteria. Deliverable for housing since in one ownership.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggestion that site should stay as a field or be community orchard.</li> </ul>
<p>G46 - Pampisford The Spinney adjacent to 81 Brewery Road.</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 2 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support green space</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowner of Spinney objects to designation of site. Private land and owner has allowed permissive access.</li> <li>• Pampisford Parish Council after discussions with landowner wishes to withdraw support for designation.</li> </ul>
<p>G47 - Papworth Everard Wood behind Pendragon Hill</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>
<p>G48 -Papworth Everard Jubilee Green</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>

<p>G49 - Papworth Everard Baron's Way Wood Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>
<p>G50 - Papworth Everard Rectory Woods Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>
<p>G51 -Papworth Everard Meadow at west end of Church Lane Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>
<p>G52 –Sawston Challis Garden, Mill Lane  Support: 44 Object: 0 Comment: 7</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vital to character of village</li> <li>• Protect this green space – well used by community</li> <li>• Sawston is lacking green space for size of village</li> <li>• Sawston Parish Council - This area, now in control of Challis Memorial Trust and available for public access forms a natural extension of current Mill Lane PVAA and PVAA policies should be extended to it</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Why are these areas so important? Unclear. If they have something special ie protected species of plants or animals, then I support this. If not, I do not see why only these areas should be protected over others, so I would object.</li> </ul>
<p>G53 - Sawston Spike Playing Field – open space at end of South Terrace  Support: 40 Object: 2 Comment: 7</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sawston Parish Council - This area, once used as a playing field, forms an important green space for residents at southern end of Sawston</li> <li>• Need to protect remaining green space in village</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objections to designation because site does not have access for public and could provide land for housing.</li> <li>• Just waste land.</li> <li>• The Spike serves no purpose, is removed from the village and is only used by dog walkers. Ideal for housing.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should be used for development since not</li> </ul>

	<p>been used as recreational area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Why are these areas so important? Unclear. If they have something special ie protected species of plants or animals, then I support this. If not, I do not see why only these areas should be protected over others, so I would object.</li> </ul>
<p>G54 - Steeple Morden The Ransom Strip, Craft Way</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Steeple Morden Parish Council support</li> </ul>
<p>G55 - Steeple Morden The Recreation Ground, Hay Street</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Steeple Morden Parish Council support</li> </ul>
<p>G56 - Steeple Morden The Cowslip Meadow</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Steeple Morden Parish Council support</li> </ul>
<p>G57 -Steeple Morden Whiteponds Wood</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Steeple Morden Parish Council support</li> </ul>
<p>G58 – Toft Land adjacent to 6 High Street</p> <p>Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Toft Parish Council support</li> </ul>
<p>G59 –Toft The Recreation Ground</p> <p>Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only piece of formal recreational ground available for children of village, and has recently had new play equipment installed partly funded by SCDC grant. Should be protected for posterity.</li> <li>• Toft Parish Council support</li> </ul>

<p>G 60 – Toft Home Meadow,  Support: 1  Object: 0  Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Toft Parish Council support</li> </ul>
<p><b>QUESTION 13: Parish Council Proposals for Protecting Important Green Spaces</b></p>	
<p>Parish Council Proposed Important Green Spaces  Support: 18  Object: 0  Comment: 5</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All should be supported x 16 respondents</li> <li>Barton Parish Council welcomes the establishment of all the green spaces and roadsides specified. In particular, as a member of the Quarter to Six Quadrant it wishes to improve the particular "green" quality of South Cambs</li> <li>Haslingfield PC LGS proposal - Wellhouse Meadow, Haslingfield – Already PVAA – will it become a LGS?</li> <li>Inclusion of green space is important for ensuring a good quality of life for residents</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Should trust P.C's judgement generally, they are in a good position to advise.</li> <li>Oakington Parish Council - It's up to individual parish councils. They know their parish better than anyone.</li> </ul>
<p>PC14 – Bassingbourn 75 and 90 Spring Lane; and the junction with the by-way at Ashwell Street.  Support: 5  Object: 0  Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Site may not be considered to be much by SCDC, however it is used extensively by village as it joins the village to The Stret. This is the old roman road and is part of the conservation area. It is a great asset to the village and it's status should be protected.</li> <li>Bassingbourn-cum-Kneesworth Parish Council confirms its support.</li> </ul>
<p>PC15 – Foxton  The green area on Station Road in front of, and beside, the Press cottages  Support: 2  Object: 1  Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Foxton Parish Council support proposal. All residents in this neighbourhood have been consulted. 96% were in favour of retaining this area as a green space. It adds character to this area of the village and is a very important element in the setting of two listed buildings. In addition, a recent planning application (S/0836/12/FL) was refused on the grounds that this open green space was an important part of the village.</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Objection from Endurance Estates Limited -</li> </ul>

	<p>Status that such protected green spaces would have is unclear. Issue 13 description sets out that such designation are not consistent with NPPF or the Council's approach.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Designation of PC15 is not supported. It is unclear what special quality land to be designated.</li> <li>• The Proposals Map designations should not try to plan for the minutiae of the District. To add an extra level of protection that is not consistent with NPPF</li> </ul>
<p>PC16 – Gamlingay Dennis Green, The Cinques, Mill Hill, Little Heath, The Heath</p> <p>Support: 2 Object: 1 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parish Council has identified three areas that should be identified as LGS – Lupin field; Green lung separating Cinques from Gamlingay and Land at Wren Park.</li> <li>• Gamlingay Environmental Action Group – suggest Heath Road and Green Acres, Gamlingay Cinques, and Wren Park, should be LGS. Adds to local character of village.</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objection from D H Barford &amp; Co Limited acting for various landowners in the vicinity of the area referred to. In the absence of a plan identifying the extent of the suggested designation we are unable to offer any detailed comments. However, we do not consider the area generally is appropriate for such a designation and this would be contrary to national planning guidance. Moreover it is inappropriate and unnecessary given the area is already protected by the prevailing open countryside policy.</li> </ul>
<p>PC17 -Great Shelford Grange field in Church Street;</p> <p>Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PC 17 is same site as site option R3 – Support the approach that gives the most protection to this site. Should be protected as open space</li> <li>• Adjacent to existing recreation ground. Has river and tree belt along its boundary. Limited views of village but worthy of protection</li> </ul>
<p>PC18 - Great Shelford Field to the east of the railway line on the southern side of Granhams Road.</p> <p>Support: 5 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b> Support for all LGS from 51 respondents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PC 18 is same site as site option R2 – Support the approach that gives the most protection to this site. Should be protected as open space.</li> <li>• Protect in the way proposed here should ensure that this area continues to make a contribution to the village's general appearance.</li> </ul>
<p>PC19 – Haslingfield Byron's Pool</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support from Shepreth Parish Council.</li> <li>• Haslingfield PC – although site is a distance</li> </ul>

<p>Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>from village it is close to edge of Trumpington Meadows. Should be jointly protected by S Cambs and Cambridge City Councils and further access footpath added.</p>
<p>PC20 - Milton Field opposite Tesco beside Jane Coston Bridge</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 2 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Important barrier green space between City and village.</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Turnstone Estates object to site being identified Site is outside village framework of Milton and does not perform a function as an Important Green Space as defined by the NPPF, which requires that such space be reasonably close to the community it serves. The site fails to sustain a functional 'break' between Cambridge and Milton, and should have no status as 'Important Green Space'</li> <li>• Milton Parish Council oppose PC20 being rejected as a 'local green space'. This is a crucial part of the green belt and serves as a true 'green space' to preserve the character and separation of Milton from Cambridge. Refusal notice by S Cambs DC recognises its importance as valuable green break.</li> </ul>
<p>PC21 - Papworth Everard Summer's Hills open space</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Papworth Everard Parish Council Planning Committee:</b> Integral part of development of 365 dwellings, makes it more sustainable, well related to village and new development, valuable recreation area for village and new development.</li> </ul>
<p>PC22 - Steeple Morden Tween Town Wood</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 1 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Steeple Morden Parish Council object to rejection of Tween Town Wood as a LGS. Strongly believe that wood should be designated because</li> </ul> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Village contributed to purchase of woodland along with Guilden Morden</li> <li>2. Name means between towns so not surprising it is not near village. Well used by village community</li> <li>3. Site owned by Woodland Trust and other wood has been included as LGS.</li> </ol>
<p><b>Rejected LGS sites (Appendix 12 of SA)</b></p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 13 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Great Eversden – Undeveloped field which fronts Church St, Great Eversden and sits between the Village Hall, Walnut Tree Cottage and The Homestead.</li> <li>• Meets criteria set out in NPPF</li> <li>• Concern that not enough residents know about</li> </ul>

	proposal to reject.
<p><b>Suggested new sites for LGS</b></p> <p>34 Parish Councils have responded and suggested 171 LGS. 15 individuals or groups have also suggested 15 LGS Total = 186</p>	<p><b>Sites suggested by Parish Councils and individuals</b></p> <p><b>Barton Parish</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Leys, an area of common land running from the High Street to Wimpole Road, including the Recreation Ground</li> <li>2. Church Close Nature Reserve, an area between Allens Close and the Churchyard</li> <li>3. The green space fronting the houses of Hines Close, towards Comberton Road.</li> <li>4. The green space forming the central part of Roman Hill.</li> </ol> <p><b>Bassingbourn Parish</b> Ford Wood</p> <p><b>Bourn Parish</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Hall Close playground</li> <li>2. Hall Close green</li> <li>3. Jubilee Recreation Ground</li> <li>4. Camping Close</li> <li>5. Access to Camping Close</li> <li>6. Site F – West of High Street /Gills Hill to south of village</li> </ol> <p><b>Caxton Parish</b> The Old Market Place, Ermine Street</p> <p><b>Cambourne Parish</b> Extend G16 to include Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space</p> <p><b>Comberton Parish</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The green verges of Green End and Branch Road in Comberton</li> <li>2. Green lung through village – north and south of Barton Road.</li> <li>3. Allotment site in South Street</li> <li>4. Allotment site in Long Road</li> <li>5. Watts Wood</li> </ol> <p><b>Cottenham Parish</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Watts Wood</li> <li>2. Fen Reeves Wood</li> <li>3. Les King Wood</li> <li>4. Green verges along High Street</li> <li>5. Significant trees, groups of trees and hedgerows</li> <li>6. Village Green</li> <li>7. Raughton Road – Cottenham Lock???</li> <li>8. Church Lane – Long Drove</li> </ol>

	<p>Dry Drayton Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Park (with ponds) TL382619</li> <li>2. Village green (both sides of road)</li> <li>3. The Plantation TL384628</li> <li>4. Dry Drayton School Field</li> </ol> <p>Duxford Parish</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Greenacres</p> <p>Elsworth</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Allotments</li> <li>2. Fardells Lane Nature Reserve - Existing PVAA.</li> <li>3. Field next to Dears Farm - Existing PVAA</li> <li>4. Glebe Field - Existing PVAA.</li> <li>5. Grass Close –Existing PVAA</li> <li>6. Avenue Meadow</li> <li>7. Avenue Farmhouse Paddock - formerly part of Avenue Meadow</li> <li>8. Grounds of Low Farm - existing PVAA</li> <li>9. The bed and banks of the brook, Brook Street</li> <li>10. Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street</li> <li>11. Land at South end of Brook Street</li> <li>12. Copse - Wildlife haven.</li> <li>13. Business Park Drive, associated with sites 6 &amp; 7.</li> <li>14. Wood - Wildlife haven.</li> <li>15. Land at Fardell's Lane between designated 'important view' and nearby conservation line</li> <li>16. County Wildlife Area, south end of the village?</li> <li>17. Elsworth Wood (SSSI)</li> </ol> <p>Eltisley Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Village green</li> <li>2. Allotments for Labouring Poor</li> <li>3. Pocket Park</li> </ol> <p>Fen Ditton Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Paddock at north eastern corner of Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch</li> <li>2. Village green on south west side of Horningsea Road</li> <li>3. Field opposite war memorial -south of the junction of Church Street and High Street</li> <li>4. Land between the High Street and the Parish cut of the River Cam; Ditton Meadow</li> <li>5. Ossier Holt - north east side of Green End and small area on opposite side</li> <li>6. Land between Nos. 12 and 28 Horningsea Road</li> <li>7. Area around the disused railway line crossed by High Ditch Road</li> </ol> <p>Fowlmere Parish</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Retaining wide ancient live-stock droving grass verges of B1368 passing into Fowlmere and</p>
--	--

	<p>out.</p> <p>Fulbourn Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Fulbourn bounded by Apthorpe Street / Station Rd and Church Lane. Southern half of Site Option 28</li> <li>2. Victorian garden, associated with and beside the Old Pumping Station</li> <li>3. Extending recreation ground within village - There are only two fields which abut existing Recreation Ground. They are east of the present Rec., south of Stonebridge Lane and North of Barnsfield - Jeeves Acre.</li> <li>4. Land to the West of Station Road, Fulbourn</li> </ol> <p>Gamlingay Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Lupin field</li> <li>2. The green lung separating Cinques from Gamlingay</li> <li>3. Land at Wren Park</li> </ol> <p>Great and Little Chishill Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bull Meadow</li> <li>2. Playing Field north of Hall Lane</li> </ol> <p>Guilken Morden Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The recreation ground in Fox Hill road</li> <li>2. The Craft which is opposite the end of New Road</li> <li>3. Church Meadow - the area to the rear of The Craft.</li> <li>4. The Vineyard</li> <li>5. Ruddy Pit.</li> <li>6. The Green in Cannons Close</li> <li>7. Land between Swan Lane and Pound green</li> <li>8. Town Farm Meadow at the junction of Church Street and High Street</li> <li>9. Fox Corner</li> <li>10. The field which lies behind the cemetery in New Road</li> <li>11. Little Green</li> <li>12. Pound Green</li> <li>13. Field on right of village at end of High Street junction with Ashwell Road</li> <li>14. Thompsons Meadow public open space</li> </ol> <p>Hardwick Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Play area adjacent to the Church</li> <li>2. Recreation ground in Egremont Road</li> </ol> <p>Harston Parish</p> <p>Orchard &amp; Recreation Ground</p> <p>Hauxton Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Willow Way recreation ground (PVAA?)</li> </ol>
--	--

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Village allotments to north of High Street</li> <li>3. Church Meadows</li> </ol>
	<p>Ickleton Parish</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Drivers Meadow</p>
	<p>Kingston Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Village Green</li> <li>2. Field Road Green</li> <li>3. Village orchard</li> <li>4. Playground</li> </ol>
	<p>Linton</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recreation Ground</li> <li>2. Village Green (Camping Close)</li> <li>3. Glebe Land</li> <li>4. Linton Village College playing fields</li> <li>5. Flemings Field - opposite side of the river to Pocket Park</li> <li>6. Grip Meadows</li> </ol>
	<p>Little Gransden</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Sites proposed for changes to village framework</p>
	<p>Little Shelford Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Camping Close</li> <li>2. Triangle field between Whittlesford Road and High Street</li> <li>3. Hermitage</li> <li>4. Water Meadows</li> </ol>
	<p>Little Wilbraham Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recreation Ground</li> <li>2. The Pits</li> <li>3. Church Green</li> </ol>
	<p>Lolworth Parish</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Allotments to south of village</p>
	<p>Melbourn Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Site A - Allotments, The Moor</li> <li>2. Site B New Recreation Ground and Millennium Copse, The Moor</li> <li>3. Site C - Old Recreation Ground, The Moor</li> <li>4. Site D - Recreational Green, Armingford Crescent</li> <li>5. Site E Recreational Green x 2, Russet Way</li> <li>6. Site F - Recreational Green and wood, Worcester Way</li> <li>7. Site G - The Cross, High Street</li> <li>8. Site H - Stockbridge Meadows, Dolphin Lane</li> <li>9. Site I - Recreational Green, Clear Crescent</li> <li>10. Site J - Play Park, Clear Crescent</li> <li>11. Site K - Recreational Green, Elm Way</li> </ol>

	<p>12. SITE L - Recreational Green, Beechwood Avenue</p> <p>13. Site M - Recreational Green, Greengage Rise</p> <p>14. Site N - Recreational Green, Chalkhill Barrow</p> <p>15. Site O - Wood area running parallel with London Way and Royston Road</p> <p>16. Site 1 - Land alongside the Allotments, The Moor</p> <p>17. Site 2 - Land alongside the Allotments, The Moor</p> <p>18. Site 3 - Wooded area, The Moor</p> <p>19. Site 4 - Playing Field, MVC, The Moor</p> <p>20. Site 5 - Open Field, Station Road</p> <p>21. Site 6 - Playing Field, MVC, The Moor</p> <p>22. Site 7 - Land between Worcester Way and Armingford Crescent</p> <p>23. Site 8 - Primary School Fields, Mortlock Street</p> <p>24. Site 9 - Wooded area to the rear of Stockbridge Meadows</p> <p>25. Site 10 - The Bury</p> <p>26. Site 11 - Land off Victoria Way</p> <p>27. Site 12 - Old Orchard off New Road</p> <p>28. Site 13 - Orchard off New Road</p> <p>Meldreth Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recreation ground</li> <li>2. Land behind the Jephson's development along Whitecroft</li> <li>3. Melwood</li> <li>4. Melmeadow</li> <li>5. Flambards Green</li> <li>6. The grass verge at Bell Close/High Street</li> </ol> <p>Oakington and Westwick Parish</p> <p>The green separation between Oakington and Northstowe</p> <p>Orwell Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Chapel Orchard</li> <li>2. Allotments on the north side of Fisher's Lane</li> <li>3. Chapel Orchard Allotments including projected southerly allotment extension.</li> <li>4. Clunch pit car park and its access from High Street.</li> <li>5. Clunch Pit?</li> <li>6. Victoria Woods?</li> <li>7. Glebe Field, this is the steep hillside field behind St Andrews Church</li> <li>8. Recreation Ground at south end of Town Green Road</li> </ol> <p>Rampton Parish</p> <p>Giants Hill</p> <p>Sawston Parish</p>
--	---

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Spicers Sports Field</li> <li>2. Lynton Way Recreation Ground:</li> <li>3. Orchard Park</li> <li>4. Deal Grove</li> <li>5. Green area in front of the old John Faulknes School</li> <li>6. Copse</li> </ol> <p>Stapleford Parish Land east of Bar Lane, Stapleford and west of the access road to Green Hedge Farm</p> <p>Thriplow Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Village Green</li> <li>2. Cricket Pitch</li> <li>3. Recreation Ground</li> <li>4. Pegs Close</li> <li>5. School Lane Meadow &amp; Orchid Meadow</li> <li>6. School Lane Meadow</li> <li>7. The Baulk Footpath</li> <li>8. The View Footpath</li> <li>9. The Spinney</li> <li>10. Open Land Church Street</li> <li>11. Dower House Woodland Area</li> </ol> <p>Toft Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Small green area immediately to west of G58</li> <li>2. Allotments</li> </ol> <p>Waterbeach Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bannold Road – area identified for housing</li> <li>2. Village Green</li> <li>3. The Gault</li> <li>4. Recreation Ground</li> <li>5. Millennium wood</li> <li>6. Old Pond Site</li> <li>7. Back Stiles</li> <li>8. Barracks Frontage</li> <li>9. Car Dyke</li> <li>10. Old Burial Ground</li> <li>11. Camlocks</li> <li>12. Coronation Close/Cambridge Road</li> <li>13. Abbey Ruins</li> <li>14. Town Holt</li> <li>15. School frontage</li> </ol> <p>Whaddon Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recreation Ground/ play area</li> <li>2. Golf course/driving range</li> <li>3. Whaddon Green</li> </ol> <p>Whittlesford Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Newton Road Play Area</li> <li>2. The Lawn</li> </ol>
<b>QUESTION 12 /13</b>	

Comments	
	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Teversham Parish Council support LGS.</li> <li>• Support any proposal if it has parish council support</li> <li>• Support all the proposals if the majority of the local population in the respective parishes agree.</li> <li>• Natural England welcomes identification of Local Green Space Designations. These designations should include sites that are noted due to their beauty, tranquillity and/or wildlife or biodiversity value and those which can make a positive contribution to the local environment</li> <li>• Support for particular villages - Support sites in Fulbourn and Shelford Development plans put forward by you protect village character and Cottenham far more than proposals made by Parish Council. Support sites in Cottenham by 6 individuals</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTIONS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PVAA – Mangers Lane, Duxford. Remove site as PVAA and also remove PVAA designation.(Rep no 55120 + SA Rep 55121)</li> <li>• Whaddon Golf Centre - Object to Parish Council putting forward site as local green space (Rep 56259)</li> <li>• Object to fact that entire process of allocation is flawed without a proper Sustainability Appraisal of each site. (Rep 51915)</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Better to protect what there was rather than coming up with this silly proposal - wholly inadequate to replace green belt land</li> <li>• Need many more open spaces and access to countryside so these should be on a larger scale and more contiguous.</li> <li>• Most should be 'semi wild' not just urban parks.</li> <li>• Provision of green spaces, however small, helps to improve quality of people's surroundings.</li> <li>• Green spaces within villages often small so CCC obviously leave them alone, developers want more than half acre!</li> <li>• Important to have green spaces for children to play</li> <li>• Criteria for selecting green spaces should be that they are the best option for preserving the character of the village</li> <li>• A sixth criteria should be included requiring that any area being considered for allocation should be able to be delivered for the purposes for</li> </ul>

	<p>which the allocation is being sought. (Rep - 51908)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little Gransden village framework proposals - Nearly all of infill plots within village framework have been developed. Some 'small green spaces' that some see as obvious sites for further housing development but those same small green spaces are important in maintaining a mosaic of habitats, views and environments that are essential to overall character of village which might justify their protection as Local Green Spaces. Rep 51352</li> <li>• Not clear that there are other sites brought forward in phase 1 of the consultation by other than parish councils which do not appear in this table and table 8.2 but which can be commented on by making representations within the Interim Sustainability appraisal report, appendix 12. Each proposal should be equally accessible for comment irrespective of identity of proposer. (Rep 51199)</li> <li>• Insufficient consultation on this subject. All residents should have had an opportunity to submit sites to Parish Council and for them to pass on to Council. Not wide enough publicity. (Rep 55026)</li> </ul>
<p><b>QUESTION 14: Important Countryside Frontages</b></p>	
<p>Question 14 - Which of the proposed important countryside frontages do you support or object to and why?</p>	
<p>F1 - South side of Church Street / Wimpole Road Great Eversden</p> <p>Support:7 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Undeveloped open area intrinsic to rural character of village with views to open countryside - an important rural break between two distinct areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) area surrounding historic core of village with its listed buildings, and</li> <li>ii) more recent linear development along Wimpole Road and High Street.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hedgerow to north of Church Street is an important screen protecting an area of countryside between road and Village Hall with views to Church and other historic buildings</li> </ul>
<p>F2 - Suggest the open views of the countryside that extend north-west from Dubbs Knoll Road, Guilden</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guilden Morden PC support</li> </ul>

<p>Morden (north of 33 Dubbs Knoll Road).</p> <p>Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	
<p>F3 - Area opposite 38-44 Dubbs Knoll Road (south of 33 Dubbs Knoll Road)</p> <p>Support: 2 Object: 1 Comment:</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guilden Morden PC support. However, wording for site to rear of Dubbs Knoll Road does not appear to agree with plan. Parish Council requests that F3 is extended to both sides of the track as important countryside frontage</li> </ul> <p><b>OBJECTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Redhouse Trust objects to proposed ICF. Disagree with phrase 'well established hedgerow'. Designation not sound. Site should be developed for affordable housing which would not significantly alter character or appearance of village and would be of benefit to residents.</li> </ul>
<p>Question 14 - Comments including Suggested new Important Countryside Frontages</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 1 Comment: 14</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Orwell PC in favour of protecting village character. Support all the proposals if majority of local population in respective parishes agree.</li> </ul> <p><b>Suggested new sites by Parish</b></p> <p>Guilden Morden Parish Extend F3 to both sides of track.</p> <p>Linton Parish</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Land either side of footpath to Lt Linton via Clapper stile (horse paddocks)</li> <li>2. Borley Wood area to Roman Road - Heath Farm area</li> <li>3. Land from the A1307 to Catley Park</li> <li>4. Rivey Hill</li> <li>5. Fields off Balsham Road leading to Water Tower</li> </ol> <p>Great and Little Chishill Parish Residents, and Parish Council keen to protect vistas that befit 'The Village on the Hill'. If do not conform to current criteria for ICF's, we would wish to seek protection via community led approach.</p> <p>Haslingfield Parish Chalk ridge running east – west.</p>

	<p>Little Gransden Parish Area between Main Road and the bottom of Primrose Hill known as the Pyckle</p>
<p>Question 15: Which of the Parish Council Proposals for Important Countryside Frontages do you support or object to and why?</p>	
<p>PC24 - Western and part of southern edge of Lower Cambourne</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne PC support. Important areas giving views of surrounding countryside linked to Greenways. These must be protected to preserve concept of Cambourne and maintain permeability between the Countryside and the built environment. Protection of Countryside Frontages will help prevent filling of viewpoints and infilling between villages.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides a boundary to development. Consistent with original concept of Cambourne development</li> </ul>
<p>PC25 - Southern edge of Greater Cambourne</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne PC support. Important areas giving views of surrounding countryside linked to Greenways. These must be protected to preserve concept of Cambourne and maintain permeability between the Countryside and the built environment. Protection of Countryside Frontages will help prevent filling of viewpoints and infilling between villages.</li> <li>• Area overlooked is an important wetland and subject to flooding. Provides an opportunity for nature watching from properties lucky enough to face area.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides a boundary to development. Consistent with original concept of Cambourne development</li> </ul>
<p>PC26 - Southern edge of Upper Cambourne</p> <p>Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cambourne PC support. Important areas giving views of surrounding countryside linked to Greenways. These must be protected to preserve concept of Cambourne and maintain permeability between the Countryside and the built environment. Protection of Countryside Frontages will help prevent filling of viewpoints and infilling between villages.</li> <li>• Consistent with original concept of Cambourne development as 3 rural villages</li> </ul>

	<p><b>COMMENTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides a boundary to development. Consistent with original concept of Cambourne development</li> </ul>
<p>PC27 - Outlying hamlets Dennis Green, The Cinques, and the Heath – Gamlingay</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 1 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>OBJECTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Objection from landowners in vicinity of area referred to. In absence of a plan identifying extent of suggested designation we are unable to offer any detailed comments. Do not consider area generally appropriate for such a designation and this would be contrary to national planning guidance. Moreover it is inappropriate and unnecessary given area is already protected by prevailing open countryside policy.</li> </ul>
<p>PC28 - Southern side of Granhams Road Hill</p> <p>Support: 5 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support Parish Council wishing to protect the countryside.</li> <li>It is sited on route into village and protects these views – enhances appearance of village therefore worth protecting.</li> </ul>
<p>PC29 – Longstanton Road, Over</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p>	<p>No representations</p>
<p>PC30 – New Road/ Station Road , Over</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 1 Comment: 0</p>	<p><b>OBJECTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Howard Ginn objecting to designation. Frontage does not meet criteria</li> </ul>
<p>Comments</p> <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p><b>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support from Orwell PC of all proposals if majority of local population in respective parishes agree.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMENT</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Oakington PC – Up to individual PCs – they know their parishes best.</li> </ul>