Appendix 2, 3 & 4: Rejected Sites	
QUESTION NO.	SUMMARY OF REPS
QUESTION / PARAGRAPH	
General for all sites	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: OBJECTIONS:
Support: 0	COMMENTS:
Object: 0	Concerned that the technical spec for flood risk
Comment: 1	and water level management is far too low.
Broad Location 1: Land to N&S of Barton Road:	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS:
Support: 0	College playing fields not open enough for public
Object: 0	
Comment: 1	
CC928 Land West of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Trumpington Road	This site plays a strong role in supporting the character of the city and maintaining the Green Bolt
Support: 1 Object: 0	Belt OBJECTIONS:
Comment: 0	COMMENTS:
Comment. 0	•
CC911 Cambridge South East-Land south Fulbourn Road r/o Peterhouse Technology Park extending south & west of Beechwood on Worts Causeway, land west of Babraham P&R Support: 0 Object: 1 Comment: 0	 ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: OBJECTIONS: Bidwells (CEG Group): The summary assessment of Broad Location 7 is flawed. With reference to the site's relationship to the Airport Public Safety and Safeguarding Zone, the Issues and Options 2 Report incorrectly interprets the safeguarding chart. The "Cambridge Airport Air Safeguarding Zones Heights for Referral" chart indicates areas where proposed development must be referred and does not represent an area where development is not permissible. The technical assessment does not take account of the content of CEG's detailed submissions to the previous consultation and did not look at what Broad Location 7 provided in terms of self-sustaining services and facilities. COMMENTS: Bidwells (CEG Group): The summary assessment of the previous consultation and did not look at what Broad Location 7 provided in terms of self-sustaining services and facilities.
SC284 Land South of Worts	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Causeway, Cambridge	Building on this land would lose a lot of what is good about the area.
Support: 1	OBJECTIONS:
Object: 0	COMMENTS:
Comment: 0	

CC929 Worts Causeway	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
South	The setting of Cambridge within its Green Belt is
	part of what makes it special. Don't lose it.
Support: 4	Building on here will be a loss of amenity.
Object: 0	Transport infrastructure in the area is not good
Comment: 0	enough to support development.
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
CC930 Worts Causeway	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
North	The setting of Cambridge within its Green Belt is
	part of what makes it special. Don't lose it.
	 Building on here will be a loss of amenity.
Support: 4	Transport infrastructure in the area is not good
Object: 0	enough to support development.
Comment: 0	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
	•
SC036 Land East of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Horningsea Road, Fen	OBJECTIONS:
Ditton	Despite the site being rejected, the transport
Surananti O	score was 'green' – this is astounding given the
Support: 0	congestion in the area
Object: 1 Comment: 0	COMMENTS:
SC060 Land South of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Shepherds Close, Fen	OBJECTIONS:
Ditton	COMMENTS:
	The transport score was 'green' – this is
Support: 0	astounding given the congestion in the area
Object: 0	ustounding given the congestion in the area
Comment: 1	•
SC160 Land at Fen Ditton	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
(East of Ditton Lane)	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
	The transport score was 'green' – this is astounding
Support: 0	given the congestion in the area
Object: 0	
Comment: 1	
SC254 Land between 12	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
and 28 Horningsea Road,	Development here would impact on village character
Fen Ditton	and cause transport problems onto Horningsea Road
	OBJECTIONS:
Support: 1 *	COMMENTS: A The transport score was 'green' — this is actounding
Object: 0	 The transport score was 'green' – this is astounding given the congestion in the area
Comment: 1	Siven the consection in the area

BL1 SC232 Land North and	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
South of Barton Road	Very sensitive area
South of Barton Road	·
	 Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from here
Support: 3	1.0.0
Object: 0	No spare traffic capacity
Comment: 1	Must preserve Green Belt
Comment. 1	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL1 SC299 Land North of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Barton Road	Very sensitive area
Barton Road	,
Support: 3	 Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from here
Object: 0	
Comment: 0	Must preserve Green BeltFlash flood risk in this area
Commence o	Plash flood risk in this area OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL1 SC921 Land North of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Barton Road	Very sensitive area
Barton Roda	Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from
	here
Support: 4	Must preserve Green Belt
Object: 0	Flash flood risk in this area
Comment: 0	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
	•
BL1 CC916 Grange Farm	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	Very sensitive area
	Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from
Support: 3	here
Object: 0	Must preserve Green Belt
Comment: 0	Flash flood risk in this area
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
	•
BL1 CC926 Barton Road	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
North 1	Would increase volume of traffic
	Must preserve Green Belt
	Flash flood risk in this area
Support: 3	OBJECTIONS:
Object: 0	COMMENTS:
Comment: 0	•
BL1 CC927 Barton Road	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
North 2	Would increase volume of traffic
	Must preserve Green Belt
	1

	Flash flood risk in this area
Support: 3	OBJECTIONS:
Object: 0	COMMENTS:
Comment: 0	•
BL2 CC895 Downing Playing	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Field Grantchester Road	Very sensitive area
	Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from
Support: 2	here
Object: 0	Must preserve Green Belt
Comment: 0	Must preserve the River Cam Corridor
	Access Issues
	Part of site in flood plain
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL2 CC896 Pembroke	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Playing Field Grantchester	
Road	Very sensitive area Uistoria alguina of Combridge algorithmistle frame
Nodu	Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from
Cumporti 2	here
Support: 2	Must preserve Green Belt
Object: 0	Must preserve the River Cam Corridor
Comment: 0	Access Issues
	Part of site in flood plain
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
	•
BL2 CC897 St Catherine's	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Playing Field Grantchester	Very sensitive area
Road	Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from
	here
Support: 2	Must preserve Green Belt
Object: 0	 Must preserve the River Cam Corridor
Comment: 0	Access Issues
	Part of site in flood plain
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
	•
BL2 CC901 Wests Renault	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Grantchester Road	Very sensitive area
	Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from
Support: 2	here
Object: 0	Must preserve Green Belt
Comment: 0	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
	•
BL3 CC924 Land West of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Trumpington Road	This site is an important part of the river valley
Trampington Noau	- This site is an important part of the river valley

	T
	corridor and should be protected
Support: 4	Very sensitive area
Object: 0	Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from
Comment: 0	here
	Must preserve Green Belt
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL3 CC928 Trumpington	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Road West (amended)	This site is an important part of the river valley
,	corridor and should be protected
Support: 4	Very sensitive area
Object: 0	Historic skyline of Cambridge clearly visible from
Comment: 0	here
	Must preserve Green Belt
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
DIASCES Land Mast of	
BL4 SC68 Land West of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Hauxton Road,	Arguably the most important gateway into Cambridge, and should be preserved.
Trumpington	Cambridge, and should be preserved
6	Building here would conflict with the urban edge
Support: 3	of city, as agreed when Trumpington Meadows
Object: 0	was built
Comment: 1	Would 'go back' on previously agreed urban
	edge, which was agreed by the Councils as
	needing to be protected
	 Need to keep the buffer between the city and
	the M11
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL4 SC69 Land West of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Hauxton Road,	 Arguably the most important gateway into
Trumpington	Cambridge, and should be preserved
	Building here would conflict with the urban edge
Support: 3	of city, as agreed when Trumpington Meadows
Object: 0	was built
Comment: 0	Would 'go back' on previously agreed urban
	edge, which was agreed by the Councils as
	needing to be protected
	Need to keep the buffer between the city and
	the M11
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL4 914A Land West of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Hauxton Road,	Arguably the most important gateway into
Trumpington	Cambridge, and should be preserved
	Building here would conflict with the urban edge

Support: 3	of city, as agreed when Trumpington Meadows
Object: 0	was built
Comment: 0	Would 'go back' on previously agreed urban
	edge, which was agreed by the Councils as
	needing to be protected
	Need to keep the buffer between the city and
	the M11
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL4 914B Land West of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Hauxton Road,	 Arguably the most important gateway into
Trumpington	Cambridge, and should be preserved
	Building here would conflict with the urban edge
Support: 3	of city, as agreed when Trumpington Meadows
Object: 0	was built
Comment:0	 Would 'go back' on previously agreed urban
	edge, which was agreed by the Councils as
	needing to be protected
	 Need to keep the buffer between the city and
	the M11
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL5 CC878 Land East of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Hauxton Road,	 Arguably the most important gateway into
	Cambridge, and should be preserved
Support: 5	Building here would conflict with the urban edge
Object: 0	of city, as agreed when Trumpington Meadows
Comment: 0	was built
	 Would 'go back' on previously agreed urban
	edge, which was agreed by the Councils as
	needing to be protected
	 Need to keep the buffer between the city and
	the M11
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL4 SC105 Land to the	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
South of Addenbrooke's	Building here would have destroyed the
Road, Cambridge	separation between Cambridge and Shelford
	Building here would damage the southern fringe
Support: 4	and would basically include Stapleford within the
Object: 0	Southern Fringe
Comment: 0	As agreed by the inspector at EiP, the Access
	Road makes a logical boundary for Cambridge
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
L	•

DLE CCOOK Land Fact of	A D CLIMATALT CIAL CLIDDODT.
BL5 CC904 Land East of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Hauxton Road	Building here would have destroyed the
	separation between Cambridge and Shelford
Support: 3	Building here would damage the southern fringe
Object: 0	and would basically include Stapleford within the
Comment: 0	Southern Fringe
	 As agreed by the inspector at EiP, the Access
	Road makes a logical boundary for Cambridge
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL5 SC294 Land East of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Hauxton Road, North of	 Building here would have destroyed the
Westfield Road	separation between Cambridge and
	Stapleford/Shelford
Support: 1	As agreed by the inspector at EiP, the Access
Object: 0	Road makes a logical boundary for Cambridge
Comment: 0	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL5 SC295 Land East of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Hauxton Road, South of	Building here would have destroyed the
Stonehill Road	separation between Cambridge and
	Stapleford/Shelford
Support: 1	As agreed by the inspector at EiP, the Access
Object: 0	Road makes a logical boundary for Cambridge
Comment: 0	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL6 CC925 Land South of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Addenbrookes and	Further harm to the Green Belt not supported
Southwest of Babraham	Impact upon visual attraction of the Gateway to
Road	Cambridge
	OBJECTIONS:
Support: 4	COMMENTS:
Object: 0	
Comment: 0	
BL7 CC911 Cambridge	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
South East – Land South of	Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported
Fulbourn Road r/o	Would overwhelm the existing community
Peterhouse Technology	OBJECTIONS:
Park Extending South &	Bidwells (CEG Group):
West of Beechwood on	The summary assessment of Broad Location 7 is
Worts Causeway, Land	flawed
West of Babraham Road	With reference to the site's relationship to the Airport
P&R	Public Safety and Safeguarding Zone, the Issues and
	Options 2 Report incorrectly interprets the
Support: 4	safeguarding chart. The "Cambridge Airport Air
Object: 2	Safeguarding Zones Heights for Referral" chart
Comment: 0	indicates areas where proposed development must be
Comment o	

referred and does not represent an area where development is not permissible. The technical assessment does not take account of the content of CEG's detailed submissions to the previous consultation and did not look at what Broad Location 7 provided in terms of self-sustaining services and facilities. COMMENTS: BL7 SC111 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
The technical assessment does not take account of the content of CEG's detailed submissions to the previous consultation and did not look at what Broad Location 7 provided in terms of self-sustaining services and facilities. COMMENTS: BL7 SC111 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
content of CEG's detailed submissions to the previous consultation and did not look at what Broad Location 7 provided in terms of self-sustaining services and facilities. COMMENTS: BL7 SC111 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • F		·
consultation and did not look at what Broad Location 7 provided in terms of self-sustaining services and facilities. COMMENTS: BL7 SC111 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 C		
T provided in terms of self-sustaining services and facilities. COMMENTS: BL7 SC111 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Combridge Road, Fulbourn ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not suppor		·
facilities. COMMENTS: BL7 SC111 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
COMMENTS: BL7 SC111 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 Comment:		
BL7 SC111 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Suth of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 Cambridge Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 Comment:	RL7 SC111 (part) Land	
Fulbourn Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Cambridge Road, Fulbourn ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: OBJECTIONS: In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	1	
COMMENTS: Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Cambridge Road, Fulther erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	_	• •
Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	Fulbourii	
Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	Cupport 3	COMMENTS:
BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	1	
BL7 SC283 (part) Land South of Cambridge Road, Fulbourn BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
• Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		A DOLLA SENTE IN CUIDDODT
Fulbourn OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
COMMENTS: Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	_	• •
Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: ARGUMENTS: ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	Fulbourn	
Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		COMMENTS:
Comment: 0 BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: COMMENTS: BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	1	
BL7 SC284 (part) Land South Worts Causeway • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: COMMENTS: BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	1	
South Worts Causeway • Further erosion of the Green Belt not supported OBJECTIONS: COMMENTS: COMMENTS: BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
OBJECTIONS: Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	South Worts Causeway	• •
Object: 0 Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
Comment: 0 BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		COMMENTS:
BL8 SC296 Land East of Gazelle Way In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	1	
Gazelle Way In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, Support: 1 Object: 0 village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity, with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		
Support: 1 with a clear and discernable character of a small Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	Gazelle Way	
Object: 0 Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the		•
,		
	1	
and property and are arrange are are	Comment: 0	sites proposed around the village would
seriously erode this identity, and harm the		•
setting of the many heritage assets within it.		, ,
OBJECTIONS:		
COMMENTS:		COMMENTS:
BL9 SC060 Land South of ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:	BL9 SC060 Land South of	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Shepherds Close • In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the	Shepherds Close	 In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the
village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity,		, ,
Support: 1 with a clear and discernable character of a small	1	with a clear and discernable character of a small
Object: 0 Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the	1	Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the
Comment: 0 sites proposed around the village would	Commont: 0	sites proposed around the village would
seriously erode this identity, and harm the	Comment: 0	cariously arada this identity, and harm the
setting of the many heritage assets within it.	Comment: 0	seriously eroue this identity, and harm the
OBJECTIONS:	Comment: 0	

	COMMENTS:
BL9 SC159 Land at Fen	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Ditton (West of Ditton	 In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the
Lane)	village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity,
Cupporti 1	with a clear and discernable character of a small
Support: 1 Object: 0	Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the sites proposed around the village would
Comment: 0	seriously erode this identity, and harm the
	setting of the many heritage assets within it.
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL9 SC161 High Street, Fen	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
Ditton	 In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the
	village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity,
Support: 1	with a clear and discernable character of a small
Object: 0 Comment: 0	Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the
Comment. o	sites proposed around the village would seriously erode this identity, and harm the
	setting of the many heritage assets within it.
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS:
BL9 SC254 Land Between	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:
12-28 Horningsea Road,	 In spite of its close proximity to Cambridge the
Fen Ditton	village of Fen Ditton retains a distinct identity,
Commonts 2	with a clear and discernable character of a small
Support: 2	Cambridgeshire village. Allocation of any of the
Object: 0 Comment: 0	sites proposed around the village would seriously erode this identity, and harm the
Comment. o	setting of the many heritage assets within it.
	OBJECTIONS:
	COMMENTS: