

CHAPTER 6: COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

QUESTION NO.	SUMMARY OF REPS
<p>QUESTION 8: Are there any sites which might be suitable for allocation for new hospice provision?</p>	
<p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 3</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None to my knowledge. • Oakington and Westwck Parish Council – Northstowe would be optimum place for a hospice. • Support Oakington and Westwick Parish Council's response - Northstowe would be ideal for a hospice; hospitals, institutions for unwell - benefit from good local transport. Benefit of exemplar site, set to include water parks, footpath networks. • Trustees of the Arthur Rank Hospice - Most appropriate approach to dealing with identification of a new site for Arthur Rank Hospice is through the inclusion of a 'Development Management' policy – suggested words are provided.
<p>QUESTION 9: Do you support or object to the site option for a residential moorings at Fen Road and why?</p>	
<p>Support: 1 Object: 3 Comment: 2</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • River congested already, additional moorings required. Close to city with good transport links, including cycling. <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cambridge Past, Present and Future - Adjacent to moorings proposed by City Council – disappointing not considered together. Is there a demand for both? Has consideration been given to compatibility with needs of other users of river? Should be considered as part of masterplan for whole Northern Fringe East area. Improve connectivity for cycling and walking. Riverside setting and landscaping considered in context of wider conservation strategy for River Cam Corridor. Longer-term consideration - new bridge across river on East side of city as part of an outer ring-road. • Fail to see how this plan cannot make my daily journey longer or more inconvenient, either having to make elongated journey around entire marina, or having to cross steep bridge. Greenfield site which should be left as such. • Milton Parish Council - opposes marina in Green

	<p>Belt. Already problems with road access along Fen Road - resolve first. Currently no mains sewerage connection.</p> <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural England - River Cam corridor is County Wildlife Site. SA - mixture of positive and negative impacts on wildlife could result - suitable mitigation will need to be identified if taken forward.
<p>Question 10: Do you own land that could provide suitable new burial ground facilities to meet needs over the next 20 years for:</p>	
<p>A: Gamlingay</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No
<p>B: Hauxton</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 4</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hauxton Parish Council - church yard may be full in 10 years. Hauxton parish does not own suitable land for a burial ground apart from Willow Way Recreation ground used as informal play area for local children. • Note the representation made by Hauxton Parish Council seeking a burial site for the village and hope that a suitable site comes forward. (2)
<p>Please provide any comments</p> <p>Support: 0 Object: 0 Comment: 1</p>	<p>ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>OBJECTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <p>COMMENTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oakington and Westwick Parish Council - No